UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|----------------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/654,933 | 09/01/2000 | Jay S. Walker | 96-108XX | 7050 | |
| | 7590 01/29/200 ITAL MANAGEMEN | | EXAMINER | | |
| 2 HIGH RIDGE PARK STAMFORD, CT 06905 | | | COLBERT, ELLA | | |
| STAMFORD, (| _1 00903 | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | | | 3696 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 01/29/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Intonsions Commune | 09/654,933 | WALKER ET AL. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Interview Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Ella Colbert | 3696 | |
| All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO | personnel): | | |
| (1) <u>Ella Colbert</u> . | (3) <u>Attorney Michael Downs</u> | <u>S</u> . | |
| (2) <u>Tom Dixon</u> . | (4) <u>Attorney Carson Fincha</u> | <u>m</u> . | |
| Date of Interview: 27 January 2009. | | | |
| Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2 | 2)∏ applicant's representative | ;] | |
| Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: | e)⊠ No. | | |
| Claim(s) discussed: <u>49-62,70 and 73-80</u> . | | | |
| Identification of prior art discussed: <u>N/A</u> . | | | |
| Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g |)∏ was not reached. h)⊠ N | I/A. | |
| Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: Applicants' Representative discussed the Examiner's rationale for the restriction requires their arguments and the Examiner would consider them and or to sustain it in view of the arguments. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendallowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ANTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW and reverse side or on attached sheet. | res, Attorney Michael Downs a rement. The Examiner requested make a decision as to wheth the examiner agroup of the amendments that which the examiner agroup of the | and Attorney Fine ted that the Attorner to withdraw the reed would render the sould render the seen filed, APP (DAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS | cham rney's submit he restriction er the claims claims DF THE LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO |
| /Ella Colbert/ | | | |

Application No.

Applicant(s)